Fiscal Estimate - 2005 Session

\boxtimes	Original		Updated		Corrected		Suppler	nental
LRB	Number	05-0616/1		Intro	duction Num	ber AF	B-34	
Subjec							:	
Prohibi	t landfilling o	f dredged mater	ials containin	g PCBs				
Fiscal	Effect	-						
	No State Fisc indeterminate Increase E Appropriat Decrease Appropriat Create Ne	Existing ions Existing	Reve Decre Reve	ease Existing	absorb	se Costs - I o within age ☑Yes ase Costs		
	ndeterminate I.	e Costs ive Mandator e Costs ive Mandator	y Permi 4. Decre	sse Revenue ssive	Units A ☐Tov atory ☐Cou ☐Sch	of Local Go ffected wns unties nool tricts	vernment Village Others WTCS Districts	t Cities
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS								
Agenc	y/Prepared E	Ву		Authorized S	ignature			Date
DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794				Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794				2/9/2005

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DNR 2/10/2005

LRB Number 05-0616/1	Introduction Number	AB-34	Estimate Type	Original			
Subject							
Prohibit landfilling of dredged materials containing PCBs							

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Bill Summary: The bill eliminates the DNR's authority to enter into indemnification agreements with municipalities that accept dredged materials from the Great Lakes and are contaminated with polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs). The bill also prohibits the operator of a solid waste disposal facility from accepting dredged materials for disposal if the dredged materials contain PCBs.

This bill would impact the current dredging plan for the Fox River and any future dredging that may contain contamination from PCBs, such as the Sheboygan River and Hayton Mill Pond projects.

Fiscal Impact: According to the original proposed remedial action plan for the Lower Fox and Green Bay, (prepared by the DNR and USEPA in October, 2001), for each operative unit, a series of alternatives were proposed. These alternatives include:

No Action
Monitored Natural Recovery
Dredge with off-site disposal
Dredge with a Confined Disposal Facility
Dredge and High Temperature Desorption
In Situ Capping

The range of costs associated with these alternatives for all of the operative units is \$36 million for the No Action alternatives to \$3.5 billion for the most expensive alternative as it would apply to each unit.

The current plan for the Fox River clean up calls for placing the contaminated sediment in landfills. According to the original proposed remedial action plan for the Lower Fox and Green Bay, the cost for dredging with off-site disposal was recorded for four of the five operative units as \$268 million total. The amounts are broken down below:

Operative Unit 1 - Little Lake Butte des Morts - \$57.6 million Operative Unit 2 - Appleton to Little Rapids - \$9.9 million Operative Unit 3 - Little Rapids to De Pere - \$30.9 million Operative Unit 4 - De Pere to Green Bay - \$169.6 million

For Operative Unit 5 - all of Green Bay - the proposed alternative was to monitor the natural recovery of the area at a cost of \$39.6 million.

The proposed plan calls for a total of \$307 million for all five units assuming four of the five units utilize landfilling.

This bill would require the use of an alternative other than landfilling the contaminated sediment. The total fiscal effect will depend on the chosen alternative at each operative unit. Since Operative Unit 5 does not call for landfilling, it would not be impacted by the bill. The costs for alternatives related to Operative Units 1-4 will range from \$18 million for No Action to \$1.1 billion for the most expensive alternative as it would apply to each unit.

In the example of the Fox River clean up, the costs are being paid by the Department of Natural Resources, but funded by revenue from the responsible parties. The effect of this bill will be to increase or decrease the revenue amounts from the responsible parties, depending on the alternative chosen for each Operative Unit, and thus increase or decrease expenditures from the Department. These costs cannot be determined until the alternatives are chosen.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Increased but indeterminate costs for disposal of PCB-contaminated dredged material.

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2005 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

☑ Original ☐ Update	ed Corrected	Supplemental				
LRB Number 05-0616/1	Introduction Number	AB-34				
Subject						
Prohibit landfilling of dredged materials co	ontaining PCBs					
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts	for State and/or Local Government (d	lo not include in				
annualized fiscal effect):						
		·				
II. Annualized Costs:	Annualized Fiscal	Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:				
	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs				
A. State Costs by Category						
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes	s \$					
(FTE Position Changes)						
State Operations - Other Costs						
Local Assistance	er a skalender en generale en					
Aids to Individuals or Organizations						
TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$	\$				
B. State Costs by Source of Funds						
GPR						
FED						
PRO/PRS						
SEG/SEG-S						
III. State Revenues - Complete this only	when proposal will increase or decr	ease state revenues				
(e.g., tax increase, decrease in license	fee, ets.)					
	Increased Rev	Decreased Rev				
GPR Taxes	\$	\$				
GPR Earned		å.				
FED						
PRO/PRS						
SEG/SEG-S						
TOTAL State Revenues	\$	\$				
NET AN	INUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT					
NET 2111105 IV 22072	State	Local				
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$	\$				
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE	\$	\$				
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date				
DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794	Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794	2/9/2005				